

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/612,226	07/01/2003	Alan F. Jankowski	IL-11019	7754	
	Ann M. Lee	7590 06/01/200	7	EXAMINI		
	Assistant Laboratory Counsel			LEE, CYNTHIA K		
	Lawrence Live P.O. Box 808,	rmore National Labora L-703	tory	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Livermore, CA			1745		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			·	06/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/612,226	JANKOWSKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Cynthia Lee	1745
Dania d £	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
	or Reply		
.WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	ecember 2006.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	v. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-27</u> is/are withdraw	•	1
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-13 and 28-42 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election require	ment.
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ef	· .
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· ·	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5.	; 113(a)=(a) or (i).
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	application from the International Bureau	*	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
	· · ·		
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/612,226

Art Unit: 1745

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Elect one catalyst compound listed from claims 30 and 42.

The species are distinct because they all have different materials/composition as set forth above (see MPEP 809.02(a)). Accordingly, each species requires a different field of search (see MPEP 808.02). Thus, there is a patentable difference between the species as claimed and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,226

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/612,226

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

trainer, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckl

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER